

SECOND PARTY OPINION (SPO)

Sustainability Quality of the Issuer and Sustainable Finance Framework

TINC
21 July 2022

VERIFICATION PARAMETERS

Type(s) of instruments contemplated	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments
Relevant standards	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines, administered by the International Capital Market Association (June 2021)• Green Loan Principles and Social Loans Principles administered by Loan Market Association (February 2021)
Scope of verification	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• TINC Sustainable Finance Framework (as of 15.07.2022)• TINC Eligibility Criteria (as of 15.07.2022)
Lifecycle	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pre-issuance verification
Validity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• As long as there is no material change to the Framework

CONTENTS

SCOPE OF WORK	3
TINC BUSINESS OVERVIEW	3
ISS ESG ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	4
ISS ESG SPO ASSESSMENT.....	5
PART I: ALIGNMENT WITH ICMA’S GREEN BOND PRINCIPLES, SOCIAL BOND PRINCIPLES AND SUSTAINABILITY BOND GUIDELINES	5
PART II: SUSTAINABILITY QUALITY OF THE ISSUANCE	7
A. CONTRIBUTION OF THE GREEN, SOCIAL OR SUSTAINABILITY FINANCE INSTRUMENTS TO THE UN SDGs.....	7
B. MANAGEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA.....	11
PART III: GREEN, SOCIAL OR SUSTAINABILITY FINANCE INSTRUMENTS LINK TO TINC’S SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY	15
A. TINC’S BUSINESS EXPOSURE TO ESG RISKS.....	15
B. CONSISTENCY OF GREEN, SOCIAL OR SUSTAINABILITY FINANCE INSTRUMENTS WITH TINC’S SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY	17
ANNEX 1: Methodology	20
ANNEX 2: Quality management processes	21
About ISS ESG SPO	22

SCOPE OF WORK

TINC (“the Issuer”) commissioned ISS ESG to assist with its Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments by assessing three core elements to determine the sustainability quality of the instrument:

1. TINC’s Sustainable Finance Framework (15.07.2022 version) – benchmarked against the International Capital Market Association’s (ICMA) Green Bond Principles (GBP), Social Bond Principles (SBP) and Sustainability Bond Guidelines (SBG).
2. The Eligibility Criteria – whether the projects contribute positively to the UN SDGs and perform against ISS ESG’s issue-specific key performance indicators (KPIs) (See Annex 1).
3. Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments link to TINC’s sustainability strategy – drawing on TINC’s overall sustainability profile and issuance-specific Use of Proceeds categories.

TINC BUSINESS OVERVIEW

The INfrastructure Company (TINC) is an investment firm that invests in companies operating in the areas of public infrastructure, energy infrastructure, digital infrastructure, and support real estate. It was founded in 2007 with the objective of creating sustainable value by investing in infrastructure and got listed on Euronext Brussels in 2015. TINC has portfolio holdings of 23 companies in Belgium, the Netherlands, and Ireland, and has a total portfolio value of Euros 414.9 million (as of 31st December 2021).

ISS ESG ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

SPO SECTION	SUMMARY	EVALUATION ¹
<p>Part 1:</p> <p>Alignment with GBP/SBP/SBG</p>	<p>The issuer has defined a formal concept for its Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments regarding use of proceeds, processes for project evaluation and selection, management of proceeds and reporting. This concept is in line with the ICMA’s Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines and the Green Loan Principles and Social Loans Principles administered by Loan Market Association.</p>	Aligned
<p>Part 2:</p> <p>Sustainability quality of the Eligibility Criteria</p>	<p>The Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments will (re-)finance eligible asset categories which include: renewable energy, energy efficiency, clean transportation, green buildings, circular economy, affordable basic infrastructure, access to essential services and affordable housing.</p> <p>Green Buildings, Renewable Energy, Energy Efficiency use of proceeds categories have a significant contribution to SDGs 7 ‘Affordable and clean energy’ and 13 ‘Climate action’. Green Buildings have a significant contribution to SDG 11 ‘Sustainable cities and communities’. Circular economy have a significant contribution to SDG 12 ‘Responsible consumption and production’. Clean transportation have limited contribution to SDGs 7 ‘Affordable and clean energy’ and 13 ‘Climate action’.</p> <p>On the Social UoP categories, Affordable housing have limited contribution to SDGs 1 ‘No poverty’, 10 ‘Reduced inequalities’ and 11 ‘Sustainable cities and communities’. Access to essential services have limited contribution to SDGs 3 ‘Good health and well-being’, 4 ‘Quality education’ and 5 ‘Gender equality’. Affordable Basic Infrastructure have limited contribution to SDG 10 ‘Reduced inequalities’ and SDG 11 ‘Sustainable cities and communities’.</p> <p>The environmental and social risks associated with those use of proceeds categories are well managed.</p>	Positive
<p>Part 3:</p> <p>Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments link to issuer’s sustainability strategy</p>	<p>The Use of Proceeds financed through this Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments are consistent with the issuer’s sustainability strategy and material ESG topics for the issuer’s industry. The rationale for issuing Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments is clearly described by the issuer.</p>	Consistent

¹ ISS ESG’s evaluation is based on the TINC’s Sustainable Finance Framework (June 2022 version), on the analysed Eligibility Criteria as received on the 15.07.2022, and on publicly available information.

ISS ESG SPO ASSESSMENT

PART I: ALIGNMENT WITH ICMA'S GREEN BOND PRINCIPLES, SOCIAL BOND PRINCIPLES AND SUSTAINABILITY BOND GUIDELINES

This section describes ISS ESG's assessment of the alignment of the TINC's Sustainable Finance Framework (dated 10.06.2022) with the ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines.

ICMA'S GREEN BOND PRINCIPLES, SOCIAL BOND PRINCIPLES AND SUSTAINABILITY BOND GUIDELINES	ALIGNMENT	ISS ESG'S OPINION
1. Use of Proceeds	✓	<p>ISS ESG considers the Use of Proceeds description provided by TINC's Sustainable Finance Framework as aligned with the ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines.</p> <p>The Issuer's green/social categories align with the project categories as proposed by the ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines and are defined in a transparent and clear way.</p>
2. Process for Project Evaluation and Selection	✓	<p>ISS ESG considers the Process for Project Evaluation and Selection description provided by TINC's Sustainable Finance Framework as aligned with the ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines.</p> <p>The project selection process is defined and structured in a congruous manner. ESG risks associated with the project categories are identified and managed through an appropriate process. Moreover, the projects selected show alignment with the sustainability strategy of the Issuer.</p>
3. Management of Proceeds	✓	<p>ISS ESG finds that the Management of Proceeds proposed by TINC's Sustainable Finance Framework as aligned with the ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines.</p> <p>The proceeds collected will be equal to the amount allocated to eligible projects, with no exceptions. The</p>

		proceeds are tracked in an appropriate manner and attested in a formal internal process.
4. Reporting	✓	<p>ISS ESG finds that the allocation and impact reporting proposed by TINC’s Sustainable Finance Framework as aligned with the ICMA’s Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines.</p> <p>The Issuer commits to disclose the allocation of proceeds transparently and to report in an appropriate frequency. TINC explains the level of expected reporting and the type of information that will be reported. Moreover, the Issuer commits to report annually, until the proceeds have been fully allocated.</p> <p>The issuer is transparent on the information reported, frequency, scope, duration and level of the impact reporting, in line with best market practice.</p>

PART II: SUSTAINABILITY QUALITY OF THE ISSUANCE

A. CONTRIBUTION OF THE GREEN, SOCIAL OR SUSTAINABILITY FINANCE INSTRUMENTS TO THE UN SDGs

Companies can contribute to the achievement of the SDGs by providing specific services/products which help address global sustainability challenges, and by being responsible corporate actors, working to minimize negative externalities in their operations along the entire value chain.



1. Products and services

The assessment of UoP categories for (re)financing products and services is based on a variety of internal and external sources, such as the ISS ESG SDG Solutions Assessment (SDGA), a proprietary methodology designed to assess the impact of an Issuer's products or services on the UN SDGs, as well as other ESG benchmarks (the EU Taxonomy Climate Delegated Acts, the ICMA Green and/or Social Bond Principles and other regional taxonomies, standards and sustainability criteria).












The assessment of UoP categories for (re)financing specific products and services is displayed on 5-point scale (see Annex 1 for methodology):

Significant Obstruction	Limited Obstruction	No Net Impact	Limited Contribution	Significant Contribution
--------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------

Each of the Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments's Use of Proceeds categories has been assessed for its contribution to, or obstruction of, the SDGs:

USE OF PROCEEDS	CONTRIBUTION OR OBSTRUCTION	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS
Green UoP Categories		
Green Buildings <i>Buildings that have achieved or are in process of achieving an environmental certification comparable to e.g. BREEAM certification (at least "Very good") or LEED certification (at least "Gold")</i>	Significant contribution	
Green Buildings <i>Buildings built before 31 December 2020 with EPC label ≥ "A"</i>		








² This assessment differs from the ISS ESG SDG Solutions Assessment (SDGA) proprietary methodology designed to assess the impact of an Issuer's product and service portfolio on the SDGs. For the projects to be financed under Use of Proceeds categories that are based on with the Technical Screening Criteria defined by the EU Taxonomy Technical Annex, a significant contribution to climate change mitigation is attested. Assets compliance with EU taxonomy is not evaluated under the SPO.

<p><i>Buildings built before 31 December 2020 belonging to the top 15% of the national building stock based on primary energy demand (PED)</i></p>	<p>Limited contribution³</p>	
<p>Green Buildings <i>Buildings built after 31 December 2020 with energy performance at least 10% lower than the threshold for Nearly Zero-Energy Buildings (“NZEB”) in the local market</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution⁴</p>	
<p>Green Buildings <i>Buildings that have been refurbished resulting in a reduction of primary energy demand of at least 30%</i></p>	<p>Limited Contribution⁵</p>	
<p>Renewable Energy <i>Solar power (solar PV), wind power (on- and offshore) and geothermal energy projects</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution⁴</p>	 
<p>Renewable Energy <i>Hydrogen (Projects related to electrolysis, alternative fuels, fuel-cell batteries)</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution</p>	 
<p>Clean Transportation <i>Rail Infrastructure</i></p>	<p>Limited Contribution</p>	 
<p>Clean Transportation <i>Infrastructure for electric vehicles (charging stations)</i></p>	<p>Limited Contribution</p>	 





³ This assessment differs from the ISS ESG SDG Solutions Assessment (SDGA) proprietary methodology designed to assess the impact of an issuer’s product and service portfolio on the SDGs

⁴ This assessment differs from the ISS ESG SDG Solutions Assessment (SDGA) proprietary methodology designed to assess the impact of an Issuer’s product and service portfolio on the SDGs. For the projects to be financed under Use of Proceeds categories that are based on with the Technical Screening Criteria defined by the EU Taxonomy Technical Annex, a significant contribution to climate change mitigation is attested. Assets compliance with EU taxonomy is not evaluated under the SPO.

⁵ This assessment differs from the ISS ESG SDG Solutions Assessment (SDGA) proprietary methodology designed to assess the impact of an issuer’s product and service portfolio on the SDGs

<p>Energy Efficiency <i>Storage (from renewable energy sources)</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution</p>	
<p>Energy Efficiency <i>District heating (from RE sources)</i></p>	<p>Limited Contribution</p>	
<p>Energy Efficiency <i>Enhancement of basic ICT Infrastructure (e.g. data centers) when aligned with EU Taxonomy aligned activity 8.1</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution</p>	
	<p>Limited Contribution</p>	
<p>Energy Efficiency <i>Smart grid projects: investments in development, construction, installation and maintenance of transmission or distribution networks projects, based on Renewable Energy</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution</p>	
<p>Energy Efficiency <i>Smart grid projects: investments in development, construction, installation and maintenance of transmission or distribution networks projects, based on non-Renewable Energy</i></p>	<p>Limited Contribution</p>	
<p>Circular Economy <i>Recycling/Resource recovery facilities</i></p>	<p>Significant Contribution</p>	

Social UoP Categories

<p>Affordable Housing <i>Provision and maintenance of low-cost housing without specific target population⁶</i></p>	<p>Limited contribution</p>		
<p>Access to Essential Services <i>Infrastructure for children day care & schools</i></p>		<p>Limited contribution</p>	
<p>Access to Essential Services <i>Infrastructure care centers for people with disabilities or elderly care</i></p>		<p>Limited contribution</p>	
<p>Affordable Basic Infrastructure <i>Expansion or roll-out of fiber optic network in underserved areas⁷</i></p>		<p>Limited contribution</p>	

⁶ TINC has realized a portfolio of social housing units that is made available to the Irish government. The government sets the eligibility criteria of who can be a social tenant for this housing. TINC is then responsible for the allocation process and management.

⁷ Financing is provided to a company whose business model focuses on the provision of fiber optic networks in areas that currently do not have access to such infrastructure.

B. MANAGEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Key performance indicators (KPIs) of Green and Social activities

The table below presents the findings of an ISS ESG assessment of the Eligibility Criteria against ISS ESG KPIs.

ASSESSMENT AGAINST ISS ESG KPI

KPIs RELEVANT FOR ALL USE OF PROCEEDS CATEGORIES

Labour, health and safety



The issuer ensures high labour, health and safety standards for its staff, volunteers and users. The issuer operates in the EU and follows regional and local legislation, governmental labour policies and controls.

Biodiversity



The issuer ensures that assets financed under this framework underwent environmental impact assessments at both planning and operation stage and/or responsible site selection. The issuer operates in the EU with a strong presence in Belgium and Netherlands, where both strict local regulation and EIA is applicable.

KPI RELEVANT FOR CARE FACILITIES AND NURSING HOMES, CIRCULAR ECONOMY, ENERGY EFFICIENCY, GREEN BUILDINGS, HYDROGEN AND INTEGRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY INTO THE GRIDS CATEGORIES

On-site and user safety



The issuer ensures high operation safety. The issuer operates in the EU and follows regional and local legislation, governmental labour policies and controls.

KPI RELEVANT FOR CIRCULAR ECONOMY, GEOTHERMAL, INTEGRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY INTO THE GRIDS, SMART ENERGY, TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURES AND WIND POWER CATEGORIES

Dialogue with local communities



The issuer ensures community dialogue feature as an integral part of the planning process. The issuer operates in the EU and EIA is applicable.

KPI RELEVANT FOR CIRCULAR ECONOMY, ENERGY EFFICIENCY, SMART ENERGY, SOLAR POWER AND INTEGRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY INTO THE GRIDS CATEGORIES

Environmental aspects of construction (or production) and operation



The issuer systematically ensures that assets financed under this framework feature take back and recycling at end-of-life. The issuer operates in the EU and follows regional and local legislation, governmental labour policies and controls. With respect to PPP investments, the infrastructure is handed back to the authority in good condition as (final) part of the whole-life value for money approach. In energy projects, the installation may be repowered or given a second life elsewhere

KPI RELEVANT FOR CIRCULAR ECONOMY, ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND SMART ENERGY CATEGORIES

Environmental aspects of construction (or production) and operation



The issuer ensures it fulfills the threshold defined by the European Directive on the Restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electric and electronic equipment (RoHS Directive). The issuer operates in the EU and follows regional and local legislation, governmental labour policies and controls.

KPI RELEVANT FOR THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY, SMART ENERGY AND SOLAR POWER CATEGORIES

Labour, Health and Safety in the Supply Chain



The issuer has not provided enough information to systematically ensure that assets financed under this framework provide for high labour and health and safety standards in the supply chain. The issuer operates in the EU and follows regional and local legislation, governmental labour policies and controls which do not cover completely this indicator.

KPI RELEVANT FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING, CARE FACILITIES AND NURSING HOMES CATEGORIES

Quality management



The issuer systematically ensures that assets financed under this framework have a quality management system in place. Care facilities in TINC's portfolio are subject to strict governmental control and surveillance. In social housing PPP quality management is part of the contractual framework.

KPI RELEVANT FOR THE GREEN BUILDINGS AND CARE AND NURSING HOMES CATEGORY

Site selection



The issuer ensures that assets to be financed will be located within a maximum of 250m (care and nursing homes) and 1km (Green buildings) from one or more modalities of public transport). In the social housing PPP in Dublin -Ireland, it was one of the prerequisites that the housing units were in the direct neighbourhood of public transport modalities.

KPI RELEVANT FOR THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING CATEGORY

Inclusion



The issuer systematically ensures that assets financed under this framework have policies in place promoting inclusion and non-discriminatory access referencing fairly prices and/or subsidized participation for socially disadvantaged groups. These are in line with statutory definitions expressed in national legislation. In the PPP projects in which TINC participates, the policies are issued by the government who is in charge of the selection process.

KPI RELEVANT FOR THE DISTRICT HEATING NETWORK CATEGORY

Energy efficiency and information guidance

- The issuer does not systematically ensure that assets financed under this framework for which the heat is generated via renewable sources, that energy efficiency is optimised or provide measures to raise customer awareness regarding environmentally appropriate behaviours. The issuer states that investments have not yet been made in this technology and policies will be developed at that time.

KPIs RELEVANT FOR THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY CATEGORY

Environmental aspects of construction (or production) and operation

- ✓ The issuer ensures the environmental standards and requirements are met in the supply chain. The issuer complies with ISO 14001 when applicable (eg. data centers)

KPIs RELEVANT FOR THE GREEN BUILDINGS, CIRCULAR ECONOMY, GEOTHERMAL, HYDROGEN, CARE FACILITIES AND NURSING HOMES CATEGORIES

Environmental aspects of construction (or production) and operation

- The issuer does not systematically ensure that assets financed under this framework provide for sustainable procurement of construction materials, a comprehensive environmental management system or are not located in the proximity to major fault lines and provide for seismic monitoring. The building portfolio dates longer time back and new policies will be introduced in view of new investments in the relevant categories.

Water use minimisation in buildings

- The issuer does not provide sufficient measures to reduce water consumption. Care facilities in portfolio make use of smart meters to be able to immediately detect leakage but no ISO standards apply.

KPIs RELEVANT FOR THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY CATEGORY

ICT Infrastructure

- ✓ The issuer ensures energy efficiency on high energy consumption of data servers that may be used by ICT solution.

KPIs RELEVANT FOR THE SMART ENERGY CATEGORY

Data protection and information security

- ✓ The issuer systematically ensures that assets financed under this framework meet minimum requirements for data and information security. Data centers within portfolio comply with ISO 27001. One of the data centers within the portfolio of TINC obtained a TIER IV certificate.

KPIs RELEVANT FOR THE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE CATEGORY

Environmental aspects of construction (or production) and operation

- ✓ The issuer systematically ensures that assets financed under this framework provide for measures to avoid contamination of soil and groundwater. The issuer operates in

the EU and follows regional and local legislation, governmental labour policies and controls, for example EIA.

PART III: GREEN, SOCIAL OR SUSTAINABILITY FINANCE INSTRUMENTS LINK TO TINC'S SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY

A. TINC'S BUSINESS EXPOSURE TO ESG RISKS

This section aims to provide an overall level of information on the ESG risks to which the issuer is exposed through its business activities, providing additional context to the issuance assessed in the present report.

ESG risks associated with the Issuer's industry

Key challenges faced by companies in terms of sustainability management in this industry are displayed in the table below. Please note, that this is not a company specific assessment but areas that are of particular relevance for companies within that industry.

ESG KEY ISSUES IN THE INDUSTRY
Climate change and related risks
Investment with social and/ or environmental benefits
Labor standards and environmental management in own operations
Sustainable investment criteria and responsible ownership activities
Transparency on portfolio companies

ESG strengths and points of attention related to the issuer's disclosures

Leveraging ISS ESG's Research, ISS ESG identified the following strengths and points of attention⁸:

STRENGTHS	POINTS OF ATTENTION
The company is committed to due diligence and decision-making in its investments and integrates governance and social aspects such as child labor, forced labor, and anti-corruption.	There is no disclosure on what environmental aspects e.g. pollution and biodiversity included in the company's investment due diligence and decision-making.
The company is transparent in providing details such as total portfolio value, details of portfolio companies, and percent of voting rights of holding companies.	There is no disclosure on the company's stand on environmental aspects such as climate change, carbon footprint, greenhouse gas emissions and inventories.
The company has invested responsibly in holdings, that generate energy using wind and	

⁸ Please note that TINC is not part of the ISS ESG Corporate Rating Universe. Thus, the information is based on a disclosure review conducted by the analyst in charge of the Multi-sector Holding sector, based on publicly available information exclusively. No direct communication between the Issuer and the analyst has taken place during the process. The below is not based on an ISS ESG Corporate Rating but considers ISS ESG Research's methodology.

solar energy, provide basic facilities, and public transport infrastructure, thus contributing to the UN SDGs.	
--	--

Please note that the consistency between the issuance subject to this report and the issuer's sustainability strategy is further detailed in Part III.B of the report.

Sustainability impact of products and services portfolio

Leveraging ISS ESG's Sustainability Solutions Assessment methodology, ISS ESG assesses the contribution of the issuer's current products and services portfolio to the Sustainable Development Goals defined by the United Nations (UN SDGs). This analysis is limited to the evaluation of final product characteristics and does not include practices along the issuer's production process.

Based on the data availability of the issuer's holdings across different sectors and activities, ISS ESG could not comprehensively assess a breakdown of the contribution of issuer's portfolio to the UN SDGs. However, ISS ESG recognizes that investments in some infrastructure projects (e.g., social housing, wind farms, light rail) contribute to sustainability objectives such as "contributing to sustainable energy use" and "providing basic services".

Breaches of international norms and ESG controversies

At issuer level

At the date of publication, ISS ESG has not identified any severe controversy in which the issuer would be involved.

At industry level

Based on a review of controversies over a 2-year period, the top three issues that have been reported against companies within the Multi Sector Holdings industry are as follows: Failure to respect the right to just and favourable conditions of work, failure to respect union rights and anti-competitive behaviour.

Please note, that this is not a company specific assessment but areas that can be of particular relevance for companies within that industry.

B. CONSISTENCY OF GREEN, SOCIAL OR SUSTAINABILITY FINANCE INSTRUMENTS WITH TINC'S SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY

Key sustainability objectives and priorities defined by the issuer

TINC's investment activity is inspired by a number of significant societal trends. This includes the ambition to realise new and improved public infrastructure, transition to a low-carbon society and promote the ongoing digitisation of society and growing focus on care and wellbeing. With this in mind, TINC focus its investments in:

- Public infrastructure
- Energy infrastructure
- Digital infrastructure
- Real Estate

As part of the sustainability strategy, TINC has identified the main ESG focus areas that apply to the investment activity and functioning of the company:

Environmental	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• GHG emissions• Energy & resource management• Climate risk & opportunities
Social	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Well-being of employees & Safety
Governance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Corporate Governance• Risk Management• Supply chain management

Rationale for issuance

TINC wants to make investments that support the creation of economic growth while reducing pressures on the environment and taking into account social and governance aspects. With these sustainable financing instruments, TINC aims to promote the transition to low-carbon, resource-efficiency and sustainable economy.

TINC also pledges to aim to contribute to the achievement of the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

Contribution of Use of Proceeds categories to sustainability objectives and key ESG industry challenges

ISS ESG mapped the Use of Proceeds categories financed under this Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments with the sustainability objectives defined by the issuer, and with the key ESG industry challenges as defined in the ISS ESG Corporate Rating methodology for the Multi-sector Holdings industry. Key ESG industry challenges are key issues that are highly relevant for a respective industry to tackle when it comes to sustainability, e.g. climate change and energy efficiency in the buildings industry. From this mapping, ISS ESG derived a level of contribution to the strategy of each Use of Proceeds categories.

USE OF PROCEEDS CATEGORY	SUSTAINABILITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ISSUER	KEY ESG INDUSTRY CHALLENGES	CONTRIBUTION
Renewable Energy	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Energy Efficiency	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Clean Transportation	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Green Buildings	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Circular Economy	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Affordable basic infrastructure	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Access to essential services	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective
Affordable housing	✓	✓	Contribution to a material objective

Opinion: *ISS ESG finds that the Use of Proceeds financed through this bond are consistent with the issuer’s sustainability strategy and material ESG topics for the issuer’s industry. The rationale for issuing Green Bonds is clearly described by the issuer.*

DISCLAIMER

1. Validity of the SPO: As long as there is no material change to the Framework.
2. ISS ESG uses a scientifically based rating concept to analyse and evaluate the environmental and social performance of companies and countries. In doing so, we adhere to standardized procedures to ensure consistent quality of responsibility research worldwide. In addition, we provide Second Party Opinion (SPO) on bonds based on data provided by the issuer.
3. We would, however, point out that we do not warrant that the information presented in this SPO is complete, accurate or up to date. Any liability on the part of ISS ESG in connection with the use of these SPO, the information provided in them, and the use thereof shall be excluded.
4. All statements of opinion and value judgments given by us do not in any way constitute purchase or investment recommendations. In particular, the SPO is no assessment of the economic profitability and creditworthiness of a bond but refers exclusively to the social and environmental criteria mentioned above.
5. We would point out that this SPO, certain images, text, and graphics contained therein, and the layout and company logo of ISS ESG and ISS-ESG are the property of ISS and are protected under copyright and trademark law. Any use of such ISS property shall require the express prior written consent of ISS. Use shall be deemed to refer in particular to the copying or duplication of the SPO wholly or in part, the distribution of the SPO, either free of charge or against payment, or the exploitation of this SPO in any other conceivable manner.

The issuer that is the subject of this report may have purchased self-assessment tools and publications from ISS Corporate Solutions, Inc. ("ICS"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of ISS, or ICS may have provided advisory or analytical services to the issuer. No employee of ICS played a role in the preparation of this report. If you are an ISS institutional client, you may inquire about any issuer's use of products and services from ICS by emailing disclosure@issgovernance.com.

This report has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. While ISS exercised due care in compiling this report, it makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of this information and assumes no liability with respect to the consequences of relying on this information for investment or other purposes. In particular, the research and scores provided are not intended to constitute an offer, solicitation or advice to buy or sell securities nor are they intended to solicit votes or proxies.

Deutsche Börse AG ("DB") owns an approximate 80% stake in ISS HoldCo Inc., the holding company which wholly owns ISS. The remainder of ISS HoldCo Inc. is held by a combination of Genstar Capital ("Genstar") and ISS management. ISS has formally adopted policies on non-interference and potential conflicts of interest related to DB, Genstar, and the board of directors of ISS HoldCo Inc. These policies are intended to establish appropriate standards and procedures to protect the integrity and independence of the research, recommendations, ratings and other analytical offerings produced by ISS and to safeguard the reputations of ISS and its owners. Further information regarding these policies are available at <https://www.issgovernance.com/compliance/due-diligence-materials>.

© 2022 | Institutional Shareholder Services and/or its affiliates

ANNEX 1: Methodology

ISS ESG Green/Social KPIs

The ISS ESG Green/Social Bond KPIs serve as a structure for evaluating the sustainability quality – i.e. the social and environmental added value – of the use of proceeds of TINC’s Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments.

It comprises firstly the definition of the use of proceeds category offering added social and/or environmental value, and secondly the specific sustainability criteria by means of which this added value and therefore the sustainability performance of the assets can be clearly identified and described.

The sustainability criteria are complemented by specific indicators, which enable quantitative measurement of the sustainability performance of the assets and which can also be used for reporting. If a majority of assets fulfill the requirement of an indicator, this indicator is then assessed positively. Those indicators may be tailor-made to capture the context-specific environmental and social risks.

Environmental and social risks assessment methodology

ISS ESG evaluates whether the assets included in the asset pool match the eligible project category and criteria listed in the Green/Social Bond KPIs.

All percentages refer to the amount of assets within one category (e.g. wind power). Additionally, the assessment “no or limited information is available” either indicates that no information was made available to ISS ESG or that the information provided did not fulfil the requirements of the ISS ESG Green/Social Bond KPIs.

The evaluation was carried out using information and documents provided to ISS ESG on a confidential basis by TINC (e.g. Due Diligence Reports). Further, national legislation and standards, depending on the asset location, were drawn on to complement the information provided by the issuer.

Assessment of the contribution and association to the SDG

The 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) were endorsed in September 2015 by the United Nations and provide a benchmark for key opportunities and challenges toward a more sustainable future. Using a proprietary method, ISS ESG identifies the extent to which TINC’s Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments contributes to related SDGs.

ANNEX 2: Quality management processes

SCOPE

TINC commissioned ISS ESG to compile a Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments SPO. The Second Party Opinion process includes verifying whether the Sustainable Finance Framework aligns with the ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines and to assess the sustainability credentials of its Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments, as well as the issuer's sustainability strategy.

CRITERIA

Relevant Standards for this Second Party Opinion

- ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines
- ISS ESG Key Performance Indicators relevant for Use of Proceeds categories selected by the Issuer

ISSUER'S RESPONSIBILITY

TINC's responsibility was to provide information and documentation on:

- Framework
- Eligibility criteria
- ESG Policy

ISS ESG'S VERIFICATION PROCESS

ISS ESG is one of the world's leading independent environmental, social and governance (ESG) research, analysis and rating houses. The company has been actively involved in the sustainable capital markets for over 25 years. Since 2014, ISS ESG has built up a reputation as a highly-reputed thought leader in the green and social bond market and has become one of the first CBI approved verifiers.

ISS ESG has conducted this independent Second Party Opinion of the Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments to be issued by TINC based on ISS ESG methodology and in line with the ICMA ICMA's Green Bond Principles, Social Bond Principles and Sustainability Bond Guidelines.

The engagement with TINC took place from May to July 2022.

ISS ESG'S BUSINESS PRACTICES

ISS has conducted this verification in strict compliance with the ISS Code of Ethics, which lays out detailed requirements in integrity, transparency, professional competence and due care, professional behaviour and objectivity for the ISS business and team members. It is designed to ensure that the verification is conducted independently and without any conflicts of interest with other parts of the ISS Group.

About ISS ESG SPO

ISS ESG is one of the world's leading rating agencies in the field of sustainable investment. The agency analyses companies and countries regarding their environmental and social performance.

As part of our Sustainable (Green & Social) Bond Services, we provide support for companies and institutions issuing sustainable bonds, advise them on the selection of categories of projects to be financed and help them to define ambitious criteria.

We assess alignment with external principles (e.g. the ICMA Green / Social Bond Principles), analyse the sustainability quality of the assets and review the sustainability performance of the issuer themselves. Following these three steps, we draw up an independent SPO so that investors are as well informed as possible about the quality of the bond / loan from a sustainability perspective.

Learn more: <https://www.isscorporatesolutions.com/solutions/esg-solutions/green-bond-services/>

For more information on SPO services, please contact: SPOsales@isscorporatesolutions.com

For more information on this specific Green, Social or Sustainability Finance Instruments SPO, please contact: SPOOperations@iss-esg.com

Project team

Project lead

Fabio Silva
Associate
ESG Consultant

Project support

Rafael Heim
Associate
ESG Consultant

Project supervision

Marie-Bénédicte Beaudoin
Associate Director
Head of ISS ESG SPO Operations